

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/994,178	11/26/2001	Klaus M. Irion	02581-P0433A	9476		
24126	7590 09/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ST. ONGE	STEWARD JOHNSTON	AGGARWAL	AGGARWAL, YOGESH K			
	O, CT 06905-5619		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
- -			2615			

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/994,17	8	IRION ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Yogesh K.		2615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status					,				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 June 2005</u> .								
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8,9,13-15 and 17-23</u> is/are pending in the application.								
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8,9,13-15 and 17-23</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/er No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

Art Unit: 2615

Claim Objections

1. Applicant is advised that should claim 3 be found allowable, claim 9 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 8, 13-15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pelchy (US Patent # 5,857,963).

[Claims 1, 8, 18]

Pelchy et al. teaches an image pick-up module (figures 1-6), especially for an endoscope, comprising an electronic image sensor (figure 1, CCD imager 17, col. 3 lines 15-20); a single-piece circuit board (TAB imager package 15) which is electrically bonded to said image sensor (17), said circuit board having at least three sections, with a first section and a second section (two top horizontal members 26) extending in spaced relation one to the other and obliquely or crosswise to said image sensor and a third section (figure 1, vertical member 25) being arranged between the first and the second sections (col. 3 lines 23-43); at least one cable (36) electrically

Art Unit: 2615

bonded to an outside surface of said circuit board and leading away from said circuit board (col. 3 lines 43-45); wherein said image sensor (17) is arranged on one end of said circuit board opposite said third section (see figure 1).

[Claim 2]

Pelchy teaches in figure 1 that said first sections (26) are parallel to one another and third section (25) extends crosswise to said first and second sections (figure 1).

[Claim 13]

Pelchy '963 discloses wherein an interior of said circuit board is filled with an electrically non-conductive, feeling compound like epoxy resin (col. 4 lines 30-39).

[Claims 14, 15, 17]

Pelchy discloses in figure 2 a recess 29 formed on the top surface to accommodate the imager 17 (col. 3 lines 30-32). The imager is read as an electric component contained in the circuit board.

[Claim 19]

Pelchy teaches bonding pads 31-31 mounted on top surface of the horizontal member 26 and connected to vertical member 33 (col. 3 lines 35-42, figures 1 and 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (US Patent # 5,857,963) in view of Pelchy et al. (US Patent # 5,754,313).

Art Unit: 2615

[Claim 3]

Pelchy '963 discloses the claimed limitations of claim 1 but fails to disclose wherein the third section has a substantially V-shaped configuration. However Pelchy '313 discloses hybrid boards 61 and 62 that are inclined inwardly from the imager package toward the transmission wires 33-33 (col. 5 lines 52-56, figure 6) in a substantially V-shape in order to protect the electrical components located at the bottom of the package and the need of a substrate is eliminated as taught in Pelchy '313 (col. 5 lines 63-67).

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Pelchy '963 and Pelchy '313, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have used hybrid boards 61 and 62 that are inclined inwardly from the imager package toward the transmission wires 33-33 in a substantially V-shape in order to protect the electrical components located at the bottom of the package and the need of a substrate is eliminated as taught in Pelchy '313 (col. 5 lines 63-67).

[Claim 4]

Pelchy '313 teaches wherein the V-shaped hybrid boards have a straight-line prolongation while Pelchy '963 teach a first and second section. Therefore taking the combined teachings, a third section having a V-shaped hybrid board will have a straight-line prolongation in relation to the first and second section.

[Claim 5]

Pelchy '313 teaches V-shaped hybrid boards and Pelchy '963 teach first and second sections that are parallel to each other.

[Claim 9]

See claim 3.

Claims 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (US Patent 6. # 5,857,963) in view of Babutzka et al. (US Patent # 6,195,261).

[Claims 20-21]

Pelchy '963 discloses the claimed limitations of claim 1 including a first, second and third section but fails to disclose that the circuit board is folded from a planar blank circuit board. However Babutzka et al. discloses a rigid circuit board 1 that is made flexible by the use of hinges 7,8,9 (col. 2 lines 42-64, figure 1b). Different components 10,11,12 etc. can be placed on the rigid circuit board and can be made flexible by use of the hinges in order to have a low cost construction that can snugly fit in the housing in more than one direction.

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Pelchy '963 and Babutzka, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have used a rigid circuit board 1 that is made flexible by the use of hinges 7,8,9 on which components 10,11,12 can be placed by use of the flexible hinges in order to have a low cost construction that can snugly fit in the housing in more than one direction.

[Claim 21]

Babutzka discloses different sections (figure 1 discloses sections 2,3,4,5,15 and can correspond to first, second third sections) that are bent in spaced relation to one another. Pelchy '963 having a first and second section having cable attached and a third section connected to the first and second section on a TAB which is flexible.

[Claims 22]

Art Unit: 2615

This is a method claim corresponding to apparatus claims 1, 20 and 21. Therefore claim 22 is

Page 6

analyzed and rejected based upon apparatus claims 1, 20 and 21 respectively.

[Claim 23]

Pelchy '963 discloses wherein an interior of said circuit board is filled with an electrically non-

conductive, feeling compound like epoxy resin (col. 4 lines 30-39).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh K. Aggarwal whose telephone number is (571) 272-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571)-272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YKA August 29, 2005

> DAVID L. OMETZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

O los